



Revised History

Michael Bloomberg the Man Who Would Be Dictator

By Al Benson February 11, 2020

There are many would be dictators among our political elites in this country. Oh, most of them would never dare admit to such tendencies. They are still trying to convince us all that they are really our benefactors. Happily, most of us are beginning to learn that it just ain't so. When they commence telling us how concerned they are for us and our children, then we better bar the door and reach for the shotgun. Their true concern is for their own safety while they try to force agendas down our throats, they realize most of us are not all that happy with.

The problem with these dictatorial elites is that most of them are socialists and they mean to rule—with or without our consent. Socialism is *never* about helping people and *always* about controlling them.

Michael Bloomberg is exhibit A in this scenario. An article on <http://www.firearmsnews.com> for January 28th of this year observed: “In fact, every Democrat candidate fighting to get on the ballot is on record to be in support of banning many common semi-automatic firearms owned by millions of law-abiding Americans, passing dangerous ‘red flag’ laws that imperil both citizens and law enforcement, forcing so-called ‘universal’ background checks that can never be universal since criminals don’t follow laws, and repealing the Protection of Lawful Commerce in Arms Act which shields legal gun manufacturers from lawsuits when criminals illegally use their lawfully-produced products to commit crimes). In my opinion, however, former New York City Mayor Michael Bloomberg—a man who thinks he knows what’s best for every man, woman and child in America and wants to bend them all to his whims—is the most dangerous of all... While he’s not in the so-called front-runners of the Democrat pack right now, Bloomberg is willing to spend billions of dollars of his own money to buy his way into the White House. In fact, Bloomberg has said he’ll spend up to \$1 billion of his own money to see a Democrat elected president this fall—even if he’s not the one to win the nomination.”

As far as being a supporter of true American liberty, Bloomberg said, in an interview with NBC “I do think there are certain times we should infringe on your freedom.” Spoken like a true socialist! And what are those “certain times?” Why they are all times! Didn’t you know that?

The article continued: “Does Bloomberg completely misunderstand the Bill of Rights, which includes the Second Amendment, was created solely to limit government, not give government the power to control citizens in whatever way it wishes?” Bloomberg isn’t stupid. He probably understands the true meaning of the Bill of Rights better than the average American. The point is, here, that he just doesn’t care. For him, the Bill of Rights is an obstacle to be removed so he can exercise his dictatorial bent to his heart’s content—all the better, in his mind, to help him to earn his place in heaven—so he thinks!

Astute commentators have been warning us about Bloomberg for quite a while now. Unfortunately, most folks didn’t listen. An article on <https://www.americas1stfreedom.org> from way back in September 19, 2017 by AWR Hawkins noted: “Billionaire anti-gunner Michael Bloomberg is at it again, pledging to spend \$1 million through his gun control group **Everytown for Gun Safety** in an attempt to impact Virginia’s upcoming elections for governor and attorney general. The \$1 million consisted of \$750,000 for Democratic gubernatorial candidate Ralph Northam and \$250,000 for incumbent Attorney General Mark Herring, both of whom support more restrictive gun control laws for law-abiding Virginians. Moreover, Everytown has made clear that the \$1 million is only the first of an undisclosed number of investments that will be made in the Virginia races.”

In other words, Bloomberg bought himself a governor and a legislature that would, for filthy lucre, enact his gun control wishes in a Southern state. How many other states, Southern or otherwise, does he plan to execute this program in? Isn't a state legislature supposed to enact the wishes of those who elected it rather than the wishes of Michael Bloomberg? I guess, in this day and age, that's a dumb question. We all know the stock political answer *and* the *real* answer. And the *real* answer is that the legislature, in most cases, will cater to the whims of those who show up with the big bucks—and to hell with what the citizens of the state want. For the political class, the citizens exist to pay the legislators' fat cat salaries and for no other reason. Hence, they are totally unimportant.

Take one salient fact away from all this. These politicians do not care what crimes criminals commit with guns. **Their sole intent is to disarm the law-abiding. They couldn't care less what the thugs and crooks do.** They just want to make sure honest, law-abiding citizens can't defend themselves against the socialist proclivities of their betters. Start getting that thought through your heads. All the political prattle about "concern for the children" is so much bovine fertilizer. The people who want to take your guns away are the same group that promotes abortion on demand. Doesn't seem to be all that much "concern for the children" there, does there? That should tell you something. "He who has ears to hear, let him hear."

You can always trust the socialists to be (and to promote) socialists and socialism—and that's all you can trust them for. Trusting them for more than that is naïve, and borders on rank stupidity.