



Revised History

A Tale Of Two Fairies

By Al Benson November 15, 2020

Well, we have heard from the Ballot Fairy and his mass delivery of votes to Joe Biden and Comrade Kamala. Now it's time we heard from the Vote Fraud Fairy and his mass manipulation of various voting machines in those swing states. Of course, the mainstream media will dutifully inform us that none of this exists, but then, the mainstream media are liars and anyone believing their bovine fertilizer is automatically lulled into believing fables.

In an article on <https://www.theepochtimes.com> for November 15, comments by Sidney Powell, one of Mr. Trump's lawyers were noted. She claimed she had "enough evidence of election fraud to launch a widespread criminal investigation" and she said "I don't make comments without having the evidence to back it up... We have so much evidence, I feel it's coming in through a fire hose."

And the article also noted Powell saying a whistleblower "has come forward alleging that the voting software was designed to 'rig elections.' He saw it happen in other countries" she said and it seems she was referring to "election hardware and software by **Dominion Voting Systems and Smartmatic** or perhaps other software and machines."

She said: "We've identified mathematically the exact algorithm they've used—and planned to use from the beginning" that allegedly switched votes to Biden, Powell said." Powell was interviewed on Fox News by Maria Bartiromo. I watched the video of the interview. Powell knew what she was talking about.

The question now arises—will the Vote Fraud Fairy and his superiors allow this evidence to be presented in court or will they work to suppress it? Obviously, the public is not supposed to be aware of any of this and the mainstream media will assiduously work to cover it up when Powell does present it.

There are a few other questions I have also. The votes in Georgia are now being recounted by hand, so they tell us. Can we expect an honest recount from the people who first gave us a dishonest count in the first place? The same question holds true for any vote recounts in all these swing states. Are we depending on the same people for recounts that gave us false totals to begin with? If so, why do we expect an honest recount on the second go-round? Seems to me that might be a bit like doing the same thing over and over and expecting a different result. Seems like there should be an independent way to verify recount results.

And a final observation. A Joe Biden "transition official" has written an op-ed in which he advocates "free speech restrictions." This according to <https://vdare.com> for November 13. This person is a Richard Stengel. Stengel has written: "All speech is not equal. And where truth cannot drive out lies, we must add new guardrails. I'm all for protecting 'thought that we hate,' but not speech that incites hate." So who gets to decide what speech "incites hate?" He conveniently doesn't go there, but knowing how the radical left operates you can pretty well figure it out. Any speech they disagree with is automatically "hate speech."

You can bet the farm that if Biden does weasel his way into office that Comrade Kamala will be deciding what is hate speech and what isn't. She will be making most of Biden's decisions for him. Oh, he might get to decide what he wants for supper tonight. Beyond that—forget it!

The question still remains—when they prevail on Biden to resign—is Comrade Kamala legally qualified to become the president? Is she a “natural born citizen?” More on that later.