



Revised History

Silencing The Opposition

By Al Benson March 8th, 2022

Watching Tucker Carlson on Fox News awhile back, I noted his commentary about some of those creatures that populate that great swamp we call Washington.

Tucker noted the comments of a Democrat lawyer. He opined that Nancy Pelosi could attempt to ban Republican members of Congress from running for re-election if they had dared to question the integrity of the 2020 presidential election. Questioning the results of that fraudulent election could, in Pelosi's fevered brain, constitute possible "insurrection" in light of what happened on January 6, 2021 in Washington.

Pelosi supposedly based this on Section 3 of the 14th Amendment—something having to do with potential office holders who had served in the Confederate "rebellion."

Now I am aware that there is lots of faulty "history" floating around out there. The idea that Confederate secession was somehow rebellion is a major part of it. Secession was NOT rebellion, and neither was it treason as so many today try to allege. Salmon Portland Chase, a future Supreme Court judge said as much when they wanted to try Jefferson Davis for treason after keeping him locked up for two years. Chase said if they did indeed try Jeff Davis for treason he would be found not guilty—and the North would have egg on its collectivist face!

Though lots of latter day "historians" have tried to paint secession as treason, it was not. An article on the Abbeville Institute some time ago observed that: "If secession was treason, surely the Constitution would say so. It does not. If secession was treason surely the United States government would have been delegated the authority to prevent states from leaving. No such authority was or has been delegated." In fact, three states contained secession provisions in their ratification ordinances. They were Virginia, New York, and Rhode Island. And their ratifications, with secession provisions in them, were accepted.

It seems to me to be a pretty tenuous proposition to try to force on some Republicans just because they dared to question the results of the 2020 election. Whatever happened to free speech in this country? Is the First Amendment now "dead" just because Trump "lost" the 2020 election? If you listened to some of these leftist Democrats (and some Republicans) that would seem to be their contention.

This would all seem to point to the fact that many in political offices are little more than would-be totalitarians, bent on controlling every aspect of our lives. This tendency must be lawfully resisted—and the false historians who promote faulty history should be exposed along with their agendas for doing such.