

Revised History

Lots Of Public School Teachers Don't Want Their

Kids to Go to The Schools They Work In

By Al Benson May 2nd, 2022

Over twenty years ago now, my wife and I worked for a Christian home-schooling program in Illinois. One day a public-school teacher, from someplace in New York, called up and wanted information about the home-schooling program we had. In talking to her I tried to ascertain why she was interested in home schooling, seeing that she was a public-school teacher. After all these years, I still remember her answer. I never forgot it. She said something like "I work here every day. No way do I want my daughter going to school here." I thought at the time—what a resounding vote of confidence for the public school system from one of its own. Folks, when even the public-school teachers don't want their kids "educated" in the system they work for, you know there is something wrong.

Turned out that this lady was far from alone in her concern about what the public school would do to (not for) her children. I recall reading an article by Larry Elder back on October 17th, 2013, on http://www.humanevents.com which dealt with this. Mr. Elder gave some interesting statistics back then. He wrote: "About 11% of all parents—nationwide, rural and urban—send their children to private schools. The numbers are much higher in urban areas. One study found that in Philadelphia a staggering 44% of public-school teachers send their kids to private schools. In Cincinnati and Chicago, 41 and 39% of public-school teachers, respectively, pay for a private education for their children. In Rochester, New York, its 38%. In Baltimore its 35%, San Francisco is 34%..." You get the idea. These public-school teachers have sense enough not to trust their own kids to the system they work for.

Mr. Elder noted that Obama, who was president at the time, ended up sending his kids to a private school. He mentioned Obama's comment about education being "the civil rights issue of our time. Yet his opposition to K-12 education vouchers guarantees that many of America's kids will sit in the back of the bus." And that seemed just fine with Obama. If the kids all went to miserable public schools and never learned anything, then they wouldn't have enough knowledge to ask any embarrassing questions about the direction the country was headed in—they would just accept the Marxist agenda because they'd never been taught anything else.

Those who advocate for "reform" of the public school system don't have a clue as to what it is all about, what it has always been all about. If the system was bad from the beginning, what can you "reform" it back to? That's one question no one has ever been able to answer for me. When I bring that question up someone usually replies by telling me how great the schools were when they went. They simply don't get it—and maybe some of them don't want to get it! The only difference between when they went to school and now is that the degree of humanism and socialism back then was not as blatantly apparent then as it is now—but it was still there—and the fact that they so staunchly defend public education proves that it was there, and they just didn't recognize it back then.

If you cannot reform or improve something, especially if it has always been questionable, then you have but one option—you separate from it and seek out a Christian alternative. If you are concerned about the future of your children and their children, then this is what you need to do. Christian children do need a Christian education—one that will teach them how to identify humanist and socialist indoctrination when they hear it, and how to oppose it.