



Revised History

The Name of The Game Is Confiscation

By Al Benson June 1st, 2022

Ever since the false flag shootings during the Obama Regime there has been a sporadic, yet ongoing debate over gun control. It ranges all the way from the socialists who want extensive gun control (for everyone except themselves and/or their bodyguards) to those who want no gun control whatever. I must admit that I lean more toward the latter than the former. Now, after the shootings in Uvalde, Texas, the debate has broken out again, like a broken record that keeps playing one part of the tune again and again.

I read an article just last evening where a man said the problem is not with guns, it is really with broken and disintegrating families—families with no father around and a mother that is struggling with problems of her own and cannot adequately watch out for her children, and so she doesn't. In which cases the kids are left to their own devices. Not the ideal situation. This man may have something. But then, the leftist politicians don't want to go there because that scenario doesn't fit their gun confiscation agenda.

The prostitute press quite understandably leans toward the would-be gun grabbers. After all, that's what they are paid to do. Any ministry of propaganda (our news media) can always be depended on to parrot the official government line, and anyone with a brain knows our news media is much more about propaganda than it is about real news.

I don't know how many columnists I have read that noted that most of these shootings take place in "gun free zones" where gun control laws are already in effect, and they are right. Others say the federal government ought to pass laws that restrict more criminals from getting guns, or they should enact laws which allow them to track mentally ill people to stop them from getting guns, or whatever. Then you have the Red Flag Laws crowd. They want red flag laws passed that will prevent people from committing crimes even before they have done anything wrong. In other words, the feds get to decide who might commit a crime and take his guns before he does it. They'd dearly love to pass one like that and disarm any potential adversaries. Watch out for those. The whole idea with them is—guilty until proven innocent—a total reversal of our judicial process!

These anti-gun politicians are not ignorant or stupid in the main. They must realize that the gun-free areas in this country are the areas where more crime is committed by criminals against unarmed citizens than in areas where folks (for now) have guns at home. They prattle long and loud about "protecting children" from crime—but let's not kid ourselves here—what they are pushing for and what they really want is an agenda of total gun confiscation, quickly if they can, slowly if they must. But, in the final analysis, what they want is all the guns belonging to honest gunowners. About the guns in criminal hands, they couldn't care less. They can always buy the criminals off, or in some situations even make government use of them. The current and prevailing agenda in this country under Biden/Obama is total gun confiscation. What did Hitler, Stalin, Lenin, and Mao do when they came to power? They took the guns. That act eliminated most of the opposition. That's what they are trying for here. That's what Biden and his boss Obama are shooting for (well, it's really the agenda of the Council on Foreign Relations people that pull their strings) but they both agree with it.

The Second Amendment was put into the Bill of Rights to protect citizens from a tyrannical government that had gotten out of control and was usurping their God-given rights. How can people protect themselves from tyrannical government if they have no firearms? Gun confiscation is the prelude to even more tyranny than we have heretofore seen (and, yes, such is possible).

Just remember, after all the political rhetoric and baloney has been thrown at us, it is still all about gun confiscation—yours and mine, not theirs.